

DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC SECURITY

Your Partner For A Stronger Arizona

WyCAN Consortium Unemployment Insurance Replacement System

Division of Employment & Rehabilitation Services

Presented by Stephen Welsh Assistant Director - Chief Information Officer April 27^{th,} 2016

Purpose

We are requesting approval to continue with the Federally funded WyCAN Consortium. Our partner, the State of Wyoming, is the principle state to implement a single system solution for each state that will replace the Unemployment Insurance mainframes and the ancillary systems used to support the Unemployment Insurance Administration's operations.

- The proposed solution is a Software as a Service (SaaS) using the transfer solution from Missouri.
- Mississippi in production for several years and Missouri going live in May 2016
- Three other states to follow
- Meets an estimated 80% standard functionality (by requirement)
- Complies with Federal program requirements
- FedRAMP compliant, third-party maintained by development vendor
- Replaces/retires all Unemployment Insurance systems (12 total)



Overview

The Division of Employment and Rehabilitation Services Unemployment Insurance Administration provides services to Arizona employers and the unemployed. Services include:

- 130,000+ Claims Processed Annually
- 1.9 Million+ Weekly Certifications Filed Annually
- \$26.3+ Million Received from Employers Annually
- 11.7+ Million Wage Records Processed Annually
- 20K+ Appeals Processed Annually

Figures current March 2016

Current Unemployment Insurance Risks

- Technical platform
 - 30 year old mainframe application
 - Shrinking pool of skilled ADABAS and COBOL development staff
- Inflexibility
 - Extensive code modification to accommodate varying federally mandated functionality has resulted in increased complexity
 - Systems are not robust enough to adhere to federal integrity standards
- Data reliability and reporting
 - Inconsistent data dictionaries across mainframes/ancillary systems
- System security
 - Required ancillary systems create additional security risks
- Customer access
 - Lack of self-service opportunities
 - Increased manual processes by staff



Project Costs

Project Costs Paid by Consortium/Federally Funded

- Development Contract with Warranty \$28,148,695
- Hardware/Software through 2018 \$4,819,245.69
- Government Cloud-Hosting Vendor through 2018 \$552,366.60
- IV&V PCG Services, NASWA-ITSC (for US DOL assistance) through 2018 \$1.5 Million
- Development Contract Holdback \$2.8 Million

Federal Funds Tranferring to Arizona

- Imaging Conversion Costs \$413,620
- Estimated On-Going Costs \$3.2 Million annually
- Zero impact to General Fund



Timeline – Phase 1 – Benefits & Appeals

Phases	Apr – Jun 2016	Jul – Sep 2016	Oct – Dec 2016	Jan – Mar 2017	Apr – Jun 2017	Jul – Sep 2017	
Initiation		→					
Gap Analysis & Planning							
Design & Data Conversion	_						
Development		_			—		-
System Integration Test							
UAT							
Production					Sep	t 30, 2017	



Phases 2 & 3

Phases 2 & 3	Apr – Jun 2017	Jul – Sep 2017	Oct – Dec 2017	Jan – Mar 2018	Apr – Jun 2018	Jul – Sep 2018
Initiation						
	, i					
Gap Analysis &		\longrightarrow				
Planning						
Proposed						
Completion of						
Tax – Phase 3						

• Phase 3 will finish design, development and deployment of Unemployment Insurance Tax into maintenance and will be defined under a different statement of work.



Project Risks

Identified Risk	Mitigation			
Timeline/Special Budget Request Award Expiration	Obtaining Transfer SolutionMinimizing CustomizationMulti-Phased Development			
Aggressive Schedule	Mitigated with 80% transfer solutionAgile Development			
Bridging New System to Old	 Early Engagement of Staff Testing Early/Often Temporary until phase 3 completed 			
Impacts to User Community	 Communication Plan Organizational Change Management Training Community/Employer Outreach 			



Benefits

- Moves Unemployment Insurance off of the mainframe
- Replaces/retires all Unemployment Insurance systems (12 total)
- Guaranteed Federal compliance
- Provides increased flexibility to change when necessary
- Consortium model, proven to be efficient in high volume states: Missouri, Mississippi, and other states
- The TCS development team will be on-site in Arizona
- Improved customer experience



Questions



